In presenting what I conceived to be a balanced and realistic appraisal of Soviet economic strength, there is always the risk that some newspapers may by selection convey a different impression them intended. My message was certainly not one of complacemely about Soviet economic growth. Further, I do not see how anyone could take much comfort from the statement that Soviet industry is likely to grow from about 40 per cent of U. S. in 1953 to 60 per cent in 1970.

Sincerely,

CIENED

Allen W. Dulles
Director

STAT

ORR

rad 27 Apr 1959

Distribution:
Orig - Addressee

1 - DCI
1 - ORR 1 - Speech file
1 - ER

Executive Registry

		1 8		28	APR	1959
il.	Berbert S.		" /			

Dear Mr. Levine:

STAT

The statement in my April & speech delivered to the Edison Electric Institute that Soviet industry was no more than 40 per cent of U.S. industry is our best estimate based on the latest information. This does represent a downward revision of the estimate that Soviet industry was 40 per cent of U.S. industry for 1956 which I quoted a year ago. The revision resulted in part from the use of a more complete set of 1955 ruble-dollar price ratios than was formerly available and from other new data. For example, the Soviet's own data on electric power input to industry in 1956 showed it to be slightly less than 40 per cent of comparable electric power input in the United States.

It must be granted that there is always the possibility of a considerable margin of error in future projections. Your calculation that Soviet industry might reach 70 per cent of U. S. industry by 1970 is within the reals of possibility. Equally it is possible that Soviet industry may be less than 60 per cent of U. S. industry, the projection which I cited. As my speech makes clear, however, I do not believe it is likely that Soviet industry will catch up as rapidly with that of the United States as you believe, unless U. S. growth is very much slower than expected.

DOCUMENT NO		
NO CHANGE IN CL	ASS.	
BECLASSIFIED	****	
SLASS, CHANGED T	10: TS S C	
NEXT REVIEW PAY	E;	
AUTH: WR, 16,2		
DATE: 19/2/81	REVIEWER.	
/ /		

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/04/02 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200150067-5

Executive Registry

11-3 486

D-R-A-F-T

This does

Dear Mr. Levine:

The statement in my April 8 speech delivered to the Edison

Electric Institute that Soviet industry "was no more than 40

percent of US industry" is our best estimate based on the latest information. It represents a downward revision of the estimate that Soviet industry was 40 percent of US industry for 1956 which I quoted a year ago. The revision involved areas other measures, the use of a more complete set of 1955 ruble-dollar price ratios than was formerly available. Incidentally, the Soviet's own data on electric power input to industry in 1958 showed it to be slightly less than 40 percent of comparable electric power input in the United States.

It must be granted that there is a considerable margin of error in the future projections. Your calculation that Soviet industry might reach 70 percent of US industry by 1970 is within the realm of possibility. Equally it is possible that Soviet industry may be less than 60 percent of US industry, the projection which I cited. As my speech makes clear, however, I do not believe it is likely that Soviet industry will catch up as rapidly with that of the United States as you believe, unless US growth is very much slower than expected.

When dale

Approved For Release 2003/04/02 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200150067-5

of Musicalways

In presenting what I conceive to be a balanced and realistic appraisal of Soviet economic strength, I want the risk that some newspapers may by selection convey a different impression than intended. My message was certainly not one of complacency about Soviet economic growth. Further, I do not see how anyone could take much comfort from the statement that Soviet industry about 1970.

Allen W. Dulles
Director

pproved For Reiga		N TOP AND BO	ттом	
pproved For Release	Sex 2000/04/62	A-RDP80R0	178161000200	150067
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDE	A CTUCY		
	LUTTI LIGENCE	AGENCI		
	ICIAL ROUTIN	G SLIP		
OFF	ICIAL RO	INITIALS	DATE	
NAME A	60,	12781		
0 10,1111		en	174	•
1	17.		1	
1/1/20				
2 Anolade	<u>-</u>		1	1
				1
3			1	1
	1 March			7
4	-			1
	- <u>Ed</u>			7
5		-	_	
4		Tabel	PARE REPLY	
6	DIRECT REPL	Y	OMMENDATION	
ACTION	DISPATCH		URN	
APPROVAL	FILE		NATURE	
COMMENT INFORMATION)N 310	Junive	
CONCURRENCE				1
change: letter	ne DCI asked the s he has made in to Mr. Levine. If you agree with in final form L. L	h them he and send i	will have t along.	
	WERE TO RE	TURN TO SEN	DER	
.	ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			
Д		o the DCI	4/24	+/59_
11	I Aget, to	O THE DOT	SEC	
pproved For Relea	ase 2003/02/02 → C	A-RORSORA	1731R00 <u>0200</u>	RET 15000
pproved For Relea	ase 2003/0 2/02 ; C	A-RIGHBORO	MENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1955	RET 150049

STAT

STAT